

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/060,835	GUGGENBICHLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	1732	

All Participants:

(1) Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.

(2) Paul John Parins.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 30 November 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-15

Prior art documents discussed:

that cited.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Ex. Eashoo proposed an examiners amendment where the non-elected claims 13-15 are canceled and the term "(hot)" in claim 12 is changed to " hot ". Mr. Parins approved the amendment..
